

U.S. DISTRICT COURT MASSACHUSETTS
FILED
U.S. POST OFFICE

2005 FEB 17 P 1:3b

From
V

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASS

U.S. SEC. et al

Core # 04-11972 w6y

Plaintiffs Verified Addendum to Motion of
2/19/05 Under Protest That No System Has Not Yet Been
Established after all the Hurts Done By ETC

Now comes the Plaintiff ("R DM") to move this Court to accept the document RDM sealed

on 2/9/05 which the U.S. post office either mistakenly accepted or later, mistakenly rejected; and to take notice that ROM will serve upon the Court a copy of a proposed order for the 2/15/05 motion as soon as he has access to a printer / or support what ROM avers as follows:

⑥ The USPS accepted the accompanying documents for mailing on 2/9/05.

② on 21/8/05, ROM received them back on the
final

③ Dr. M. kept the promise he made on 21st/05 in this case
in so far as this document keeps the promise he

(4) It may with due documents, be proved that the
made on 219/05 in this miserable plot caused
by the criminal enterprise - that is the US SEC
thatization of such money under the color of law.

⑤ ROM will submit a proposed order for the 275105 motion w/n the week barring some unforeseen development

development
Whence all my moves are bent to confirm that now
has ~~not~~ best and promised me for made
a move

U.S. District Court Worcester

Motion of Petition

Mem

✓

U.S. Dist. Ct.

Box # 04-11972 WGY

Notice is herein provided that the
Petitioners or their attorney do hereby copy of
the accompanying "Motion of 2/13/05"
in the mail at the main post office
in Chicago IL on 2/13/05 before 12 midnite
along w/ this notice in an envelope addressed
to: U.S. Marshals Court of Massachusetts
Clerk of Courts Office J.W. Young

1 Cornhill Way

Boston MA 02210

All under penalty of perjury pursuant to
federal Statutes I do certify to the truthfulness
of all documents contained herein above
no affidavit service needed

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR MASSACHUSETTS
Robert J. More
Plaintiff

V
United States Securities And Exchange Commission,
et al.,
Defendants


2005 FEB 17 P 1:36
Case No. 04-11972 WGY
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASS

NOTICE OF FILING

NOTICE IS HEREIN PROVIDED that the Petitioner Robert J. More did deposit PLAINTIFF'S ("RJM'S")VERIFIED MOTION OF 2/9/05 FILED UNDER PROTEST.... into the mail in a regular U.S. mail postal receptacle in Chicago, IL on 2/9/05, along with this notice in an envelope addressed to the:

U.S. District Court of Massachusetts,
Clerk Of Courts Office/Judge W. Young
1Courthouse Way
Boston MA 02210

Under penalty of perjury pursuant to all applicable federal statutes, I do certify to the truthfulness of all factual averments contained herein and that no certificate of service was needed for this filing.


Robert J. More
Pro se Petitioner,
2008 S. Blue Island, #39,
Chicago, IL 60608
312 455-8385

No certificate of service necessary
- No Defendants yet served

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR MASSACHUSETTS

Robert J. More

Plaintiff

v

Case No. 04-11972 WGY

United States Securities And Exchange Commission,

et al.,

Defendants

NOTICE OF FILING

NOTICE IS HEREIN PROVIDED that the Petitioner Robert J. More did deposit PLAINTIFF'S ("RJM'S")VERIFIED MOTION OF 2/9/05 FILED UNDER PROTEST.... into the mail in a regular U.S. mail postal receptacle in Chicago, IL on 2/9/05, along with this notice in an envelope addressed to the:

U.S. District Court of Massachusetts,
Clerk Of Courts Office/Judge W. Young
1Courthouse Way
Boston MA 02210

Under penalty of perjury pursuant to all applicable federal statutes, I do certify to the truthfulness of all factual averments contained herein and that no certificate of service was needed for this filing.



Robert J. More
Pro se Petitioner
2008 S. Blue Island, #39,
Chicago, IL 60608
312 455-8385

Date: 2/9/2005 7:20:47 PM Eastern Standard Time
From: CrxLx
To: CrxLx

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR MASSACHUSETTS

Robert J. More

Plaintiff

V Case No. 04-11972 WGY

United States Securities And Exchange Commission,
et al.,
Defendants

PLAINTIFF'S ("RJM'S") VERIFIED MOTION OF 2/9/05 FILED UNDER PROTEST, IN WHICH HE SEEKS TO HAVE THIS COURT; 1. SUMMARILY STRIKE ITS ORDER OF 1/6/05, AND IF IT WILL NOT DO SO, TO TAKE NOTICE THAT DUE TO ADVERSITIES ENCOUNTERED, RJM WILL NOT SUCCEED IN FILING WHAT HE COMMITTED HIMSELF TO FILE ON 2/9/05 UNTIL 2/12 OR 2/13/05 AND 2. TO EXTEND THE PERIOD WITHIN WHICH SERVICE CAN BE ACCOMPLISHED IN THIS CASE, 3. ISSUE AN ORDER ENABLING RJM TO ACCESS THE RACER COURT DOCKET IN THE CASE WITHOUT THE PAYMENT OF FEES

Now comes the Protagonist-Plaintiff, RJM, ("RJM") to respectfully move this Court to read the entirety of the contents of this document, and then summarily strike its order issued on 1/6/05 in this case and extend the period within which service of process in this case can be accomplished in this case, to issue an order enabling RJM to access the RACER system without payment of fees for the service and to acknowledge the errata contained in the motion filed in this case on 2/5/05 and in support and explanation whereof, RJM avers as follows:

1. On 2/8/05, RJM's pernicious landlord, in a desperate attempt to quell RJM's opposition to his malicious and presumptively ^{comical} activity, accused RJM out of thin air of "threatening to kill me", and endeavored to induce a number of his toady employees to attest to such fabrication as a pretext to justify his calling the police to have RJM arrested. This all followed a Monday court appearance in which RJM called the landlord for endeavoring as a non-attorney to represent his corporation in an Illinois Court which is a misdemendor in IL.

2. The series of developments which have followed have necessitated RJM's attention to such mess before he gets falsely arrested again.

3. The long term solution to the problems RJM has been encountering is the deputization of RJM, unless entire criminal codes can be repealed or declared unconstitutional as the provisions of such might ever be applied to any vigilante-type measures RJM might ever have to apply.

4. RJM herein substitutes "00-11141-GAO" for the utilization of "04-11972 WGY" in the motion filed on 2/5/05 in this case in every place in the body of that document ~~in which the error was present~~.

5. RJM needs access to the file in this case and other cases to continue to prosecute this case and moves the Court to issue an order requiring RACER to permit RJM access to such documents without the payment of fees, which type order is customarily issued for indigents.

4. RJM will submit by the 13th of February, what he committed himself to submit by the 9th, ~~as the~~ Wherefore, RJM herein respectfully moves this Court to provide each and every form of relief included in the opening paragraph of this motion (wherein the provision of one form is not incompatible with that of another) and for whatever other forms of relief the Court would deem it necessary to provide at this time.

Under penalty of perjury, I aver to the veracity of all factual averments contained herein.

Respectfully submitted,
Robert J. More

These findings are all indicative of the damage done to the country when great prays upon the citizens as the Sh. L. has in this case - under the pretent of protecting us.

Date: 2/9/2005 7:20:47 PM Eastern Standard Time
From: Crxtlx
To: Crxtlx

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR MASSACHUSETTS

Robert J. More

Plaintiff

V Case No. 04-11972 WGY

United States Securities And Exchange Commission,
et al.,

Defendants

PLAINTIFF'S ("RJM'S") VERIFIED MOTION OF 2/9/05 FILED UNDER PROTEST, IN WHICH HE SEEKS TO HAVE THIS COURT; 1. SUMMARILY STRIKE ITS ORDER OF 1/6/05, AND IF IT WILL NOT DO SO, TO TAKE NOTICE THAT DUE TO ADVERSITIES ENCOUNTERED, RJM WILL NOT SUCCEED IN FILING WHAT HE COMMITTED HIMSELF TO FILE ON 2/9/05 UNTIL 2/12 OR 2/13/05 AND 2. TO EXTEND THE PERIOD WITHIN WHICH SERVICE CAN BE ACCOMPLISHED IN THIS CASE, 3. ISSUE AN ORDER ENABLING RJM TO ACCESS THE RACER COURT DOCKET IN THE CASE WITHOUT THE PAYMENT OF FEES

Now comes the Protagonist-Plaintiff, RJM, ("RJM") to respectfully move this Court to read the entirety of the contents of this document, and then summarily strike its order issued on 1/6/05 in this case and extend the period within which service of process in this case can be accomplished in this case, to issue an order enabling RJM to access the RACER system without payment of fees for the service and to acknowledge the errata contained in the motion filed in this case on 2/5/05 and in support and explanation whereof, RJM avers as follows:

1. On 2/8/05, RJM's pernicious landlord, in a desperate attempt to quell RJM's opposition to his malicious and presumptively ^{criminal} activity, accused RJM out of thin air of "threatening to kill me", and endeavored to induce a number of his toady employees to attest to such fabrication as a pretext to justify his calling the police to have RJM arrested. This all followed a Monday court appearance in which RJM called the landlord for endeavoring as a non-attorney to represent his corporation in an Illinois Court which is a misdemeanor in IL.
2. The series of developments which have followed have necessitated RJM's attention to such mess before he gets falsely arrested again.
3. The long term solution to the problems RJM has been encountering is the deputization of RJM, unless entire criminal codes can be repealed or declared unconstitutional as the provisions of such might ever be applied to any vigilante-type measures RJM might ever have to apply.
4. RJM herein substitutes "00-11141-GAO" for the utilization of "04-11972 WGY" in the motion filed on 2/5/05 in this case in every place in the body of that document. ~~in which the treason was present~~.
5. RJM needs access to the file in this case and other cases to continue to prosecute this case and moves the Court to issue an order requiring RACER to permit RJM access to such documents without the payment of fees, which type order is customarily issued for indigents.
4. RJM will submit by the 13th of February, what he committed himself to submit by the 9th ~~on the~~. Wherefore, RJM herein respectfully moves this Court to provide each and every form of relief included in the opening paragraph of this motion (wherein the provision of one form is not incompatible with that of another) and for whatever other forms of relief the Court would deem it necessary to provide at this time.

Under penalty of perjury, I aver to the veracity of all factual averments contained herein.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert J. More

These filings are an induction of the damage done to the country when govt preys upon the citizens as the SEC has done in this case - under the pretext of protecting us.